

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Neuropsychological functioning and pesticide exposure in children aged 6-11

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Clinical
Psychology at

Massey University

Wellington

New Zealand.

Yanis Brinkmann

2018

Abstract

Pesticide exposure has been linked with numerous health concerns in both adults and children, including problems with cognition and behaviour. Research in this area is complicated by different pesticide exposure profiles across different countries, and results may not generalise to a New Zealand context. The current study aimed to investigate the effects of pesticide exposure in New Zealand children. It extended previous research by studying more cognitive domains, thus providing a more thorough understanding of effects on cognitive functioning. Four hundred and forty three children from the Wellington and Hawkes Bay regions were assessed using subtests from the NEPSY-II, WISC-IV and TEA-Ch. Pesticide exposure was measured using questionnaire and dust sample data which served as proxies for both pre- and post-natal exposure.

Prenatal exposure was found to be significantly associated with lower memory scores, while postnatal exposure was associated with lower scores in working memory, facial memory and executive functioning. No effects were found for attention, motor speed, processing speed, verbal memory, and social perception. These results suggest the presence of effects of pesticide exposure on some aspects of child neuropsychological development in New Zealand. While the results are based on initial analyses, and are thus preliminary, the thesis will contribute to a larger project looking at pesticides and public health, and provide important information for regulators around public safety in the future.

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the support and guidance of many individuals. I would like to start by thanking my primary supervisor Janet Leathem. Thank you for your words of wisdom, feedback and support throughout this process. I am very fortunate to have had your input in this project, and without you this would have not been possible. I would like to thank the CPHR, in particular my second supervisor Jeroen Douwes. Thank you for your advice on the overall public health aspects of this project and statistical guidance. I also want to thank my clinical supervisor Matthew Manderson. Your support helped keep me on track during the last stages of this thesis, and thank you for your patience when this project moved slower than anticipated.

Thank you to my classmates who have walked their paths alongside mine. I also want to acknowledge Jean Feary Mckenzie, Kate Ross-McAlpine and Kate Pennell Spill. You provided invaluable logistical support and made the data collection possible. You also provided much needed support during the tough times and I am grateful to be able to have worked alongside you.

I want to acknowledge my friends and family who have supported me throughout this process. Thank you for your patience with me and for being there even when this project took up all my time. I want to thank my parents Doris and Achim Brinkmann for always giving me a home base, and always believing in me. Lastly, I want to thank my soon-to-be wife Shannon Anderson, you have provided the most solid foundation anyone could have asked for. Thank you for being my rock.

Finally, I want to thank Caroline Loudon for giving me the courage to take the first step.

Wer ein Warum hat, kann Wie ertragen

(He who has a “why” can bear any “how”)

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ix
Acknowledgements.....	x
Table of Contents.....	xi
List of Tables	xiv
List of Figures	xv
List of Appendices	xv
 Chapter 1: Overview	 1
PHASE I	1
PHASE II	2
PHASE III	3
ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS.....	4
Chapter 2: Pesticide usage – then and now.....	5
HISTORIC PESTICIDE USE	5
<i>Organochlorines</i>	6
<i>Organophosphates</i>	7
<i>Carbamates</i>	8
<i>Pyrethroids</i>	8
<i>Neonicotinoids</i>	9
<i>Current World Pesticide Use</i>	10
Chapter 3: Pesticide Exposure	14
EXPOSURE GENERALLY	14
EXPOSURE PATHWAYS.....	14
FACTORS INFLUENCING PESTICIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY.....	16
Chapter 4 – Normal Cognitive Development	20
THEORIES OF DEVELOPMENT	20
COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT	22
<i>Motor Functioning</i>	22
<i>Processing Speed</i>	23
<i>Attention</i>	24
<i>Language</i>	26
<i>Memory</i>	26
<i>Executive Functioning and Working Memory</i>	28
<i>Social Perception</i>	31
Chapter 5: Pesticide Exposure and Neuropsychological Development in Children	33
BRAIN DEVELOPMENT	35
INTELLIGENCE QUOTIENT (IQ)	36
ATTENTION	38
MOTOR SKILLS.....	40

PROCESSING SPEED	42
MEMORY.....	42
VERBAL SKILLS AND LANGUAGE.....	43
VISUO-SPATIAL SKILLS	43
WORKING MEMORY	43
LIMITATIONS	44
Chapter6: Hypotheses	47
Chapter 7 Method	50
ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	50
RESEARCH DESIGN.....	51
<i>Overall Project</i>	51
<i>Current Thesis</i>	53
RECRUITMENT	54
COMPENSATION.....	57
MEASURES.....	57
<i>Test Selection</i>	57
<i>A Developmental NeuroPSYchological Assessment – II (NEPSY-II)</i>	61
<i>Test of Everyday Attention for Children (TEA-Ch)</i>	65
<i>Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children IV (WISC-IV)</i>	66
MATERIALS AND APPARATUS.....	67
ASSESSORS.....	67
INTER-RATER RELIABILITY	68
PROCEDURE	69
<i>School Based Assessments</i>	69
<i>Home Visit Assessments</i>	70
<i>Feedback</i>	70
<i>Dust Samples</i>	71
STUDY SAMPLE	71
DATA CONSISTENCY	72
DATA ANALYSIS	72
<i>Exposure assessment</i>	72
<i>Rationale for Regression</i>	73
Chapter 8 Results	75
COVARIATE ANALYSES	75
<i>Gender</i>	75
<i>Assessor</i>	75
<i>Decile</i>	76
<i>Age</i>	76
<i>Head Injuries</i>	77
<i>Prenatal Tobacco Exposure</i>	77
DUST SAMPLE ANALYSIS	77
PRENATAL EXPOSURE ANALYSIS	79
POSTNATAL EXPOSURE ANALYSIS	80
<i>Current Exposure</i>	80
<i>Lifetime Exposure</i>	84
Chapter 9 Discussion	86
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS.....	86

<i>Covariates</i>	86
<i>Dust Sample Analysis</i>	89
<i>Exposure Analysis</i>	90
SUMMARY OF RESULTS.....	92
RESEARCH OBSERVATIONS.....	92
CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY TO THE LITERATURE	93
LIMITATIONS	94
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	96
CONCLUSIONS	98
References.....	100
Appendices.....	119

List of Tables

Table 1	52
OUTLINE OF ACTIVITIES INVOLVED WITH PHASE I OF THE LARGER STUDY	52
Table 2	53
OUTLINE OF ACTIVITIES INVOLVED WITH PHASE II OF THE WIDER STUDY.....	53
Table 3	55
DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION ABOUT PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS.....	55
Table 4	58
TESTS REVIEWED FOR THE TESTING BATTERY	58
Table 5	59
LIST OF REPLACEMENT TERMS AND NAMES USED.....	59
Table 6	76
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR THE FINGER TAPPING SUBTEST BY ASSESSOR	76
Table 7	76
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR THE CODING, FINGER TAPPING AND NARRATIVE MEMORY SUBTESTS BY AGE	76
Table 8	78
DUST SAMPLE DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS BY EXPOSURE GROUP	78
Table 9	79
ANOVA DUST SAMPLE RESULTS BY EXPOSURE GROUP	79
Table 10	79
PATERNAL AND MATERNAL EXPOSURE TO PESTICIDES REGRESSION RESULTS BY COGNITIVE DOMAIN	79
Table 11	81
EXPOSURE REGRESSION FOR CURRENT RESIDENCE PROXIMITY TO AGRICULTURAL AREAS BY COGNITIVE DOMAIN.....	81
Table 12	82
EXPOSURE REGRESSION FOR CURRENT RESIDENCE PROXIMITY TO RECREATIONAL AREAS BY COGNITIVE DOMAIN.....	82
Table 13	83
REGRESSION FOR DUST RESULTS BY COGNITIVE DOMAIN	83
Table 14	85
PERCENTAGE OF TIME LIVED ON A FARM REGRESSION RESULTS BY COGNITIVE DOMAIN	85

List of Figures

Figure 1. Outline of the larger HRC funded study.....	1
Figure 2. Distribution of neuropsychological tests per assessor	68

List of Appendices

Appedix A.....	111
PESTICIDE EXPOSURE QUESTIONNAIRE	111
Appedix B	182
REFUSAL QUESTIONNAIRE	182
Appedix C	185
CASE STUDY	185
Appedix D.....	201
ETHICS PROPOSAL APPLICATION.....	201
Appedix E	215
INFORMATION SHEETS.....	215
Appedix F	230
SCHOOL PRESENTATION.....	230